

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/762,617	SQUIRES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: condition for allowance

 (1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ms Susan Luna for Mr. Timothy Hagan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired if there is sufficient support to a whereby clause (particularly the materials being "substantially dimensionally stable") in a feeding step in independent claim 89. Ms Luna cited page 19 for support to the claimed subject matter. Examiner suggested removing any reference to "substantially dimensionally stable" to avoid a potential problem with introducing New Matter to the original disclosure. Examiner also suggested canceling all non-elected claims to place the application in condition for allowance. Ms Luna agreed. Finally, Examiner informed Ms Luna that, one (GB 9903913.3) of the foreign priority documents is missing in the file. Examiner acknowledged that in a prior office action, it was noted receiving all foreign priority documents. Examiner informed Ms Luna that, Examiner may have been mistaken at that time, since the above document is not shown in EDAN. Ms Luna agreed to submit the missing document. On 06-21-05, Examiner made a courtesy call to Mr. Hagan. Left a message informing Mr. Hagan that, submission of a GB '913.3 priority document is no longer needed since a copy of it has been obtained in EPO web site.